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## Minimax Lower Bound

## Theorem (adapted from Jaksch et al. [2010])

For any MDP $M^{\star}=\left\langle\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, p_{h}, r_{h}, H\right\rangle$ with stationary ( $p_{1}=p_{2}=\ldots=p_{H}$ ) transitions, any algorithm $\mathfrak{A}$ at any episode $K$ suffers a regret of at least

$$
\Omega(\sqrt{H S A T})
$$

with $T=H K$.

- If non-stationary transitions
- $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{H}$ can be arbitrary different
- Effective number of states is $S^{\prime}=H S$
- Lower bound

$$
\Omega(H \sqrt{S A T})
$$

## Tabular MDPs: Outline

1 Tabular Model-Based

- Optimistic
- Randomized


## 2 Tabular Model-Free Algorithms

## The Optimism Principle: Intuition
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## Exploration vs. Exploitation



If the best possible world is correct
$\Longrightarrow$ no regret
Exploitation

If the best possible world is wrong
$\Longrightarrow$ learn useful information
Exploration

History: OFU for Regret Minimization
Tabular MDPs
FH: finite-horizon
AR: average reward


## Learning Problem

```
Input: S, A %h, ph
```



```
for k=1,\ldots,K do // episodes
    Observe initial state slk (arbitrary)
    Compute ( }\mp@subsup{Q}{h,k}{}\mp@subsup{)}{h=1}{H}\mathrm{ from }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k}{
    Define }\mp@subsup{\pi}{k}{}\mathrm{ based on (Qhk )}\mp@subsup{h}{h=1}{H
    for }h=1,\ldots,H\mathrm{ do
        Execute }\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{}=\mp@subsup{\pi}{hk}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{}
        Observe r rhk and sh+1,k
    end
    Add trajectory ( }\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mp@subsup{)}{h=1}{H}\mathrm{ to }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k+1}{
end
```


## Learning Problem

```
Input: S, \mathcal{ wn,pm}
Initialize }\mp@subsup{Q}{h1}{}(s,a)=0\mathrm{ for all (s,a) &S S 人 A and h=1, ..,H, 疎=Ø
for k=1,\ldots,K do // episodes
    Observe initial state sik (arbitrary)
    Compute ( }\mp@subsup{Q}{h,k}{}\mp@subsup{)}{h=1}{H}\mathrm{ from }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k}{
    Define }\mp@subsup{\pi}{k}{}\mathrm{ based on (Q (Qk) H=1
    Defines the type of algorithm
    for }h=1,\ldots,H\mathrm{ do
        Execute ahk = \mp@subsup{\pi}{hk}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{})
        Observe }\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{s}{h+1,k}{
    end
    Add trajectory ( }\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mp@subsup{)}{h=1}{H}\mathrm{ to }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k+1}{
end
```


## Model-based Learning

```
Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A} \sqrt{n, p n}\)
Initialize \(Q_{h 1}(s, a)=0\) for all \((s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\) and \(h=1, \ldots, H, \mathcal{D}_{1}=\emptyset\)
for \(k=1, \ldots, K\) do // episodes
    Observe initial state \(s_{1 k}\) (arbitrary)
    Estimate empirical MDP \(\widehat{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, H\right)\) from \(\mathcal{D}_{k}\)
    \(\widehat{p}_{h k}\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}\left(\left(s_{h i}, a_{h i}, s_{h+1, i}\right)=\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}, \quad \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{h i} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(\left(s_{h i}, a_{h i}\right)=(s, a)\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}\)
    Planning (by backward induction) for \(\pi_{h k}\)
    for \(h=1, \ldots, H\) do
        Execute \(a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)\)
        Observe \(r_{h k}\) and \(s_{h+1, k}\)
    end
    Add trajectory \(\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}\) to \(\mathcal{D}_{k+1}\)
end
```


## Measuring Uncertainty

Bounded parameter MDP [Strehl and Littman, 2008]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{k}=\left\{\left\langle\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, r_{h}, p_{h}, H\right\rangle:\right. & \forall h \in[H] \\
& \left.r_{h}(s, a) \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a), p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a) \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a), \forall(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compact confidence sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{h k}^{r}(s, a) & :=\left[\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)-\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a), \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)\right] \\
B_{h k}^{p}(s, a) & :=\left\{p(\cdot \mid s, a) \in \Delta(\mathcal{S}):\left\|p(\cdot \mid s, a)-\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{1} \leq \beta_{h k}^{p}(s, a)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Bounded parameter MDP [Strehl and Littman, 2008]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{k}=\left\{\left\langle\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, r_{h}, p_{h}, H\right\rangle:\right. & \forall h \in[H] \\
& \left.r_{h}(s, a) \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a), p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a) \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a), \forall(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Compact confidence sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{h k}^{r}(s, a):=\left[\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)-\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a), \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)\right] \\
& B_{h k}^{p}(s, a):=\left\{p(\cdot \mid s, a) \in \Delta(\mathcal{S}):\left\|p(\cdot \mid s, a)-\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{1} \leq \beta_{h k}^{p}(s, a)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Confidence bounds based on [Hoeffding, 1963] and [Weissman et al., 2003]

$$
\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\log \left(N_{h k}(s, a) / \delta\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}}, \quad \beta_{h k}^{p}(s, a) \propto \sqrt{\frac{S \log \left(N_{h k}(s, a) / \delta\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}}
$$

## Bounded Parameter MDP: Optimism
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Fix a policy $\pi$

## Extended Value Iteration

[Jaksch et al., 2010]

```
Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, B_{h k}^{r}, B_{h k}^{p}\)
Set \(Q_{H+1}(s, a)=0\) for all \((s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\)
for \(h=H, \ldots, 1\) do
    for \((s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\) do
        Compute
                                    \(\begin{aligned} Q_{h k}(s, a) & =\max _{r_{h} \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a)} r_{h}(s, a)+\max _{p_{h} \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} \mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \sim p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1, k}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right] \\ & =\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+\max _{p_{h} \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} \mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \sim p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1, k}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]\end{aligned}\)
                                    \(V_{h k}(s)=\min \left\{H-(h-1), \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h k}(s, a)\right\}\)
    end
end
return \(\pi_{h k}(s)=\arg \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h k}(s, a)\)
```
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## UCRL2-CH for Finite Horizon

## Theorem (adapted from [Jaksch et al., 2010])

For any tabular MDP with stationary transitions, UCRL2 with Chernoff-Hoeffding confidence intervals (UCRL2-CH), with high-probability, suffers a regret

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{UCRL} 2-\mathrm{CH}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H S \sqrt{A T}+H^{2} S A\right)
$$

- Order optimal $\sqrt{A T}$
- $\sqrt{H S}$ factor worse than the lower-bound

Lower-bound: $\quad \Omega(\sqrt{H S A T})$

## Extended Value Iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{h k}(s, a) & =\max _{(r, p) \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a) \times B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)}\left\{r+p^{\top} V_{h+1, k}\right\} \\
& =\max _{r \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a)} r+\max _{p \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} p^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& =\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+\max _{p \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} p^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& \leq \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+\left\|p-\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{1}\left\|V_{h+1, k}\right\|_{\infty}+\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& \leq \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+H \beta_{h k}^{p}(s, a)+\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)^{\top} V_{h+1, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Extended Value Iteration

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{h k}(s, a) & =\max _{(r, p) \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a) \times B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)}\left\{r+p^{\top} V_{h+1, k}\right\} \\
& =\max _{r \in B_{h k}^{r}(s, a)} r+\max _{p \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} p^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& =\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+\max _{p \in B_{h k}^{p}(s, a)} p^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& \leq \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+\left\|p-\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{1}\left\|V_{h+1, k}\right\|_{\infty}+\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)^{\top} V_{h+1, k} \\
& \leq \widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)+H \beta_{h k}^{p}(s, a)+\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)^{\top} V_{h+1, k}
\end{aligned}
$$

3 Exploration bonus $(1+H \sqrt{S}) \beta_{h k}^{r}(s, a)$ for the reward

## Replace EVI with Exploration Bonus

```
Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, D_{h k}^{r}, D_{h k}^{p}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, b_{h k}\)
Set \(Q_{H+1, k}(s, a)=0\) for all \((s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\)
for \(h=H, \ldots, 1\) do
    for \((s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}\) do
        Compute
                                    \(Q_{h k}(s, a)=\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+b_{h k}(s, a)+\mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \sim \widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left[V_{h+1, k}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]\)
    \(V_{h k}(s)=\min \left\{H-(h-1), \max _{a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h k}\left(s^{\prime}, a^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
    end
end
return \(\pi_{h k}(s)=\arg \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q_{h k}(s, a)\)
```

$\mathcal{B}$ Equivalent to value iteration on $\bar{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{r}_{h k}+b_{h k}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, H\right)$

## UCBVI: Measuring Uncertainty

- Combine uncertainties in rewards and transitions
- In a smart way

$$
b_{h k}(s, a)=(H+1) \sqrt{\frac{\log \left(N_{h k}(s, a) / \delta\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}}<\beta_{h k}^{r}+H \beta_{h k}^{p}
$$

## UCBVI: Measuring Uncertainty

- Combine uncertainties in rewards and transitions
- In a smart way

$$
b_{h k}(s, a)=(H+1) \sqrt{\frac{\log \left(N_{h k}(s, a) / \delta\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}}<\beta_{h k}^{r}+H \beta_{h k}^{p}
$$

Save a $\sqrt{S}$ factor

$$
|\left(p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)-\widehat{p}_{h k}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right)^{\top} \underbrace{V_{h}^{\star}}_{\leq H}| \leq H \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{\log \left(N_{h k}(s, a) / \delta\right)}{N_{h k}(s, a)}}}_{=\beta_{h k}^{p} / \sqrt{S}}
$$

## UCBVI-CH: Regret

## Theorem (Thm. 1 of Azar et al. [2017])

For any tabular MDP with stationary transitions, UCBVI with Chernoff-Hoeffding confidence intervals (UCBVI-CH), with high-probability, suffers a regret

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \text { UCBVI-CH }\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H \sqrt{S A T}+H^{2} S^{2} A\right)
$$

- Order optimal $\sqrt{S A T}$
- $\sqrt{H}$ factor worse than the lower-bound
- Long "warm up" phase
- If non-stationary, then $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H^{3 / 2} \sqrt{S A T}\right)$

Lower-bound: $\quad \Omega(\sqrt{H S A T})$

## Refined Confidence Bounds

- UCRL2 with Bernstein-Freedman bounds (instead of Hoeffding/Weissman): * i see tutorial website

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{UCRL2B}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sqrt{H \Gamma S A T}+H^{2} S^{2} A\right)
$$

PStill not matching the lower-bound!

$$
\Gamma=\max _{h, s, a}\left\|p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{0} \leq S
$$

## Refined Confidence Bounds

- UCRL2 with Bernstein-Freedman bounds (instead of Hoeffding/Weissman): * i see tutorial website

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{UCRL2B}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sqrt{H \Gamma S A T}+H^{2} S^{2} A\right)
$$

o Still not matching the lower-bound!

$$
\Gamma=\max _{h, s, a}\left\|p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)\right\|_{0} \leq S
$$

- UCBVI with Bernstein-Freedman bounds: *

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \text { UCBVI-BF }\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sqrt{H S A T}+H^{2} S^{2} A+H \sqrt{T}\right)
$$

$B$ Matching the Lower-Bound!
R Long "warm up" phase

* stationary model $\left(p_{1}=\ldots=p_{H}\right)$


## Refined Confidence Bounds

- EULER [Zanette and Brunskill, 2019] keeps upper and lower bounds on $V_{h}^{\star}$

$$
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{EULER}\right)=\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\mathbb{Q}^{\star} S A T}+\sqrt{S} S A H^{2}(\sqrt{S}+\sqrt{H})\right)
$$

P Problem-dependent bound based on environmental norm [Maillard et al., 2014]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Q}^{\star} & =\max _{s, a, h}\left(\mathbb{V}\left(r_{h}(s, a)\right)+\mathbb{V}_{x \sim p_{h}(\cdot \mid s, a)}\left(V_{h+1}^{\star}(x)\right)\right) \\
\mathbb{V}_{x \sim p}(f(x)) & =\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}\left[\left(f(x)-\mathbb{E}_{y \sim p}[f(y)]\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\checkmark$ Can remove the dependence on $H$
$B$ Matching lower-bound in the worst case

## UCRL2: RiverSwim

## Hoeffding

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{h k}^{r}(s, a)=r_{\max } \sqrt{\frac{L}{N}} \\
& b_{h k}^{p}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{S L}{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bernstein

$b_{h k}^{r}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{r}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+r_{\max } \frac{L}{N}$
$b_{h k}^{p}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{p}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+\frac{L}{N}$
$\widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{r}_{h k}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(r_{h, i}-\widehat{r}_{h k}\right)^{2}$
is the population variance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1 \\
& L=\log (S A N / \delta) \\
& \text { facebook Artificial Intelligence Research }
\end{aligned}
$$

## UCBVI: RiverSwim

## Hoeffding

$$
b_{h k}(s, a)=\frac{(H-h) L}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

## Bernstein

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{h k}(s, a)= & \sqrt{\frac{L \mathbb{V}_{\widehat{p}_{h k}}\left(V_{h+1, k}\right)}{N}} \\
& +\frac{(H-h) L}{N}+\frac{(H-h)}{\sqrt{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathbb{V}_{p}(V)=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}\left[(V(x)-\mu)^{2}\right]
$$

$$
\text { with } \mu=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[V(x)]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1 \\
& L=\log (S A N / \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$



## UCBVI: RiverSwim

Hoeffding

$$
b_{h k}(s, a)=\frac{(H-h) L}{\sqrt{N}}
$$

## Bernstein

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{h k}(s, a)= & \sqrt{\frac{L \mathbb{V}_{\widehat{p}_{h k}}\left(V_{h+1, k}\right)}{N}} \\
& +\frac{(H-h) L}{N}+\frac{(H-h)}{\sqrt{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbb{V}_{p}(V)=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}\left[(V(x)-\mu)^{2}\right]$
with $\mu=\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[V(x)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1 \\
& L=\log (S A N / \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$



## Model-Based Advantages

Learning efficiency

- First order optimal
- Matching lower-bound

Counterfactual reasoning

- Optimistic/Pessimistic value estimate for any $\pi$
- Usefull for inference (e.g., safety)


## Model-Based Issues

## Complexity

- Space $O\left(H S^{2} A\right)$

$$
\text { non-stationary model } \Longrightarrow H(\underbrace{S^{2} A}_{\text {transitions }}+\underbrace{S A}_{\text {rewards }})
$$

- Time $O(K \underbrace{H S^{2} A}_{\text {planning by } V I})$


## Model-Based Issues

## Complexity

- Space $O\left(H S^{2} A\right)$

- Time $O(K \underbrace{H S^{2} A}_{\text {planning by } V I})$
incremental updates

```
Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A} r_{h}, p_{h}\)
Initialize \(V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)\) for all \(s \in \mathcal{S}\) and \(h=[H]\)
for \(k=1, \ldots, K\) do // episodes
    Observe initial state \(s_{1 k}\) (arbitrary)
    for \(h=1, \ldots, H\) do
        \(a_{h k} \in \underset{a \in \mathcal{A}}{\arg \max } r_{h}\left(s_{h k}, a\right)+p_{h}\left(\cdot \mid s_{h k}, a\right)^{\top} V_{h+1, k-1}\)
                            \(a \in \mathcal{A}\)
        \(V_{h, k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=r_{h}\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}\right)+p_{h}\left(\cdot \mid s_{h k}, a_{h k}\right)^{\top} V_{h+1, k-1}\)
        Observe \(s_{h+1, k} \sim p_{h}\left(\cdot \mid s_{h k}, a_{h k}\right)\)
    end
end
```


# Opt-RTDP: Incremental Planning 

```
Input: S, A 
Initialize }\mp@subsup{V}{h0}{}(s)=H-(h-1) for all s\in\mathcal{S}\mathrm{ and }h=[H],\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{1}{}=
for }k=1,\ldots,K\mathrm{ do // episodes
    Observe initial state s sk (arbitrary)
    Estimate empirical MDP }\mp@subsup{\widehat{M}}{k}{}=(\mathcal{S},\mathcal{A},\mp@subsup{\widehat{p}}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{\widehat{r}}{hk}{},H)\mathrm{ from }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k}{
    Planning (by backward induction) for \pi}\pi
    for }h=1,\ldots,H\mathrm{ do
        Execute }\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{}=\mp@subsup{\pi}{hk}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{}
        Observe }\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{s}{h+1,k}{
        end
    Add trajectory ( }\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mp@subsup{)}{h=1}{H}\mathrm{ to }\mp@subsup{\mathcal{D}}{k+1}{
end
```


## Opt-RTDP: Incremental Planning

[Efroni et al., 2019]

```
Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A} \frac{\pi n}{n, p n}\)
Initialize \(V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)\) for all \(s \in \mathcal{S}\) and \(h=[H], \mathcal{D}_{1}=\emptyset\)
for \(k=1, \ldots, K\) do // episodes
    Observe initial state \(s_{1 k}\) (arbitrary)
    Estimate empirical MDP \(\widehat{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, H\right)\) from \(\mathcal{D}_{k}\)
    Planaing (by backward induction) for - Thn
    for \(h=1, \ldots, H\) do
    Build optimistic estimate of \(Q\left(s_{h k}, a\right)\) for all \(a \in \mathcal{A}\)
                                    \(Q \leftarrow\) using \(\widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, V_{h+1, k-1}\)
            Set \(V_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\min \left\{V_{h, k-1}\left(s_{h k}\right), \max _{a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} Q\left(s_{h k}, a^{\prime}\right)\right\}\)
            Execute \(a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\arg \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q\left(s_{h k}, a\right)\)
            Observe \(r_{h k}\) and \(s_{h+1, k}\)
    end
    Add trajectory \(\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}\) to \(\mathcal{D}_{k+1}\)
end

\section*{Opt-RTDP: Incremental Planning}
[Efroni et al., 2019]
```

Input: $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A} \not \pi_{n, p n}$
Initialize $V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $h=[H], \mathcal{D}_{1}=\emptyset$
for $k=1, \ldots, K$ do // episodes
Observe initial state $s_{1 k}$ (arbitrary)
Estimate empirical MDP $\widehat{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, H\right)$ from $\mathcal{D}_{k}$
Plannaing (by backward induction) for $\pi$ Thk
for $h=1, \ldots, H$ do
Build optimistic estimate of $Q\left(s_{h k}, a\right)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$
$Q \leftarrow$ using $\widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}, V_{h+1, k-1}$
Set $V_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\min \left\{V_{h, k-1}\left(s_{h k}\right), \max _{a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} Q\left(s_{h k}, a^{\prime}\right)\right\}$
Next stage but previous episode!
Execute $a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\arg \max _{a \in \mathcal{A}} Q\left(s_{h k}, a\right)$
Observe $r_{h k}$ and $s_{h+1, k}$
end
Add trajectory $\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$
end
Optimism + RTDP

```

\section*{Opt-RTDP: Properties}

Non-Increasing Estimates
\[
V_{h k}(s) \leq V_{h, k-1}(s)
\]
how?
- Optimistic initialization: \(V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)\)
- Clipping:
\[
V_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\min \left\{V_{h, k-1}\left(s_{h k}\right), \max _{a^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}} Q\left(s_{h k}, a^{\prime}\right)\right\}
\]

\section*{Opt-RTDP: Properties}

Optimistic Estimates
\[
V_{h k}(s) \geq V_{h}^{\star}(s)
\]
how?
- Optimistic initialization: \(V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)\)
- Optimistic update

\section*{Opt-RTDP: Properties}

\section*{Optimistic Estimates}
\[
V_{h k}(s) \geq V_{h}^{\star}(s)
\]
how?
- Optimistic initialization: \(V_{h 0}(s)=H-(h-1)\)
- Optimistic update

Example. UCRL2-like step
\[
Q\left(s_{h k}, a\right)=\max _{r \in B_{h k}^{r}\left(s_{h k}, a\right)} r\left(s_{h k}, a\right)+\max _{p \in B_{h k}^{\gamma}\left(s_{h k}, a\right)} \mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \sim p\left(\cdot \mid s_{h k}, a\right)}\left[V_{h+1, k-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]
\]
- \(V_{h+1, k-1}\) is one episode behind but optimistic
- Then \(Q\) is optimistic!

\section*{Theorem (Thm. 8 of Efroni et al. [2019])}

For any tabular MDP with stationary transitions, UCRL2-GP (Opt-RTDP based on UCRL2 with Hoeffding bounds), with high-probability, suffers a regret
\[
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{UCRL} 2-\mathrm{GP}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H S \sqrt{A T}+H^{2} S^{3 / 2} A\right)
\]
- Same regret as UCRL2-CH
- Computationally more efficient

Time: \(\mathcal{O}(S A)\) per step, total runtime \(\mathcal{O}(H S A K)\)
\(\leftrightarrow\) can be adapted to any algorithm (e.g.,UCBVI, EULER)

\section*{UCRL2-GP: RiverSwim}

\section*{Hoeffding}
\[
\begin{aligned}
b_{h k}^{r}(s, a) & =r_{\max } \sqrt{\frac{L}{N}} \\
b_{h k}^{p}(s, a) & =\sqrt{\frac{S L}{N}}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Bernstein}
\(b_{h k}^{r}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{r}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+r_{\max } \frac{L}{N}\)
\(b_{h k}^{p}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{p}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+\frac{L}{N}\)
\(N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1\)
\(L=\log (S A N / \delta)\)


\section*{UCRL2-GP: RiverSwim}

\section*{Hoeffding}
\[
\begin{aligned}
b_{h k}^{r}(s, a) & =r_{\max } \sqrt{\frac{L}{N}} \\
b_{h k}^{p}(s, a) & =\sqrt{\frac{S L}{N}}
\end{aligned}
\]

\section*{Bernstein}
\(b_{h k}^{r}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{r}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+r_{\max } \frac{L}{N}\)
\(b_{h k}^{p}(s, a)=\sqrt{\frac{L \widehat{\mathbb{V}}\left(\widehat{p}_{h k}\right)}{N}}+\frac{L}{N}\)
\(N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1\)
\(L=\log (S A N / \delta)\)


\section*{Tabular MDPs: Outline}

1 Tabular Model-Based
- Randomized

\section*{2 Tabular Model-Free Algorithms}

\section*{Posterior Sampling (PS)}
a.k.a. Thompson Sampling [Thompson, 1933]

Keep Bayesian posterior for the unknown MDP
\(\leftrightarrow\) A sample from the posterior is used as an estimate of the unknown MDP

\section*{Exploration}

Few samples \(\Longrightarrow\) uncertainty in the estimate

More samples \(\Longrightarrow\) posterior concentrates on the true MDP

> Exploitation

\section*{Set of MDPs}

\section*{Posterior} distribution \(\mu_{t}\)

\section*{History: PS for Regret Minimization}

Tabular MDPs
FH: finite-horizon


\section*{Bayesian Regret}
\[
R^{B}\left(K, \mu_{1}, \mathfrak{A}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{M^{\star} \sim \mu_{1}}[\underbrace{\bar{R}\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathfrak{A}\right)}_{:=\mathbb{E}\left[R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathfrak{A}\right)\right]}]=\mathbb{E}_{M^{\star}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} V_{1, M^{\star}}^{\star}\left(s_{1 k}\right)-V_{1, M^{\star}}^{\pi_{k}}\left(s_{1 k}\right)\right]
\]

\section*{Posterior Sampling}
[Osband and Roy, 2017]
```

Input: $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A},{ }_{h}, p_{n}$, prior $\mu_{1}$
Initialize $\mathcal{D}_{1}=\emptyset$
for $k=1, \ldots, K$ do // episodes
Observe initial state $s_{1 k}$ (arbitrary)
Sample $M_{k} \sim \mu_{k}\left(\cdot \mid \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)$
Compute
$\pi_{k} \in \underset{\pi}{\arg \max }\left\{V_{1, M_{k}}^{\pi}\right\}$
for $h=1, \ldots, H$ do
Execute $a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)$
Observe $r_{h k}$ and $s_{h+1, k}$
end
Add trajectory $\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$
end

```

\section*{Posterior Sampling}
[Osband and Roy, 2017]

Input: \(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A},{ }_{n}\), prior \(\mu_{1}\)
Initialize \(\mathcal{D}_{1}=\emptyset\)
for \(k=1, \ldots, K\) do // episodes
Observe initial state \(s_{1 k}\) (arbitrary)
Sample \(M_{k} \sim \mu_{k}\left(\cdot \mid \mathcal{D}_{k}\right)\)
Compute
\[
\pi_{k} \in \underset{\pi}{\arg \max _{\pi}\left\{V_{1, M_{k}}^{\pi}\right\}, ~}
\]
for \(h=1, \ldots, H\) do
Execute \(a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)\)
Observe \(r_{h k}\) and \(s_{h+1, k}\)
end
Add trajectory \(\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}\) to \(\mathcal{D}_{k+1}\)
end

Prior distribution:
\[
\forall \Theta, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(M^{*} \in \Theta\right)=\mu_{1}(\Theta)
\]

Posterior distribution:
\[
\forall \Theta, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(M^{*} \in \Theta \mid \mathcal{D}_{k}, \mu_{1}\right)=\mu_{k}(\Theta)
\]

Priors
- Dirichlet (transitions)
- Beta, Normal-Gamma, etc. (rewards)

\section*{Model Update with Dirichlet Priors}

A assume \(r\) is known
\[
\underbrace{\left\{\mu_{t},\left(s_{t}, a_{t}, s_{t+1}\right)\right\}}_{\sim H_{t}} \mapsto \mu_{t+1}
\]

\section*{Model Update with Dirichlet Priors}

A assume \(r\) is known
\[
\underbrace{\left\{\mu_{t},\left(s_{t}, a_{t}, s_{t+1}\right)\right\}}_{\sim H_{t}} \mapsto \mu_{t+1}
\]
- \(\mu_{t}(s, a)=\operatorname{Dirichlet}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{S}\right)\) on \(p(\cdot \mid s, a)\)
- Observe \(s_{t+1} \sim p\left(\cdot \mid s_{t}, a_{t}\right)\) (outcome of a multivariate Bernoulli) such that \(s_{t+1}=i\). The Bayesian posterior is
\[
\mu_{t+1}(s, a)=\operatorname{Dirichlet}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}+1, \ldots, \alpha_{S}\right)
\]
- Posterior mean vector \(\widehat{p}_{t+1}\left(s_{i} \mid s, a\right)=\frac{\alpha_{i}}{n}\)
- Variance bounded by \(\frac{1}{n}\)
\[
n=\sum_{i=1}^{S} \alpha_{i}
\]

\section*{Posterior Sampling is Usually Better}

[Chapelle and Li, 2011]


Finite horizon RL
[Osband and Roy, 2017]

\section*{PSRL: Regret}

\section*{Theorem (Osband and Roy [2017] revisited)}

For any prior \(\mu_{1}\) with any independent Dirichlet prior over stationary transitions, the Bayesian regret of PSRL is bounded as
\[
R^{B}\left(K, \mu_{1}, P S R L\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}(H S \sqrt{A T})
\]
- Order optimal \(\sqrt{A T}\)
- \(\sqrt{H S}\) factor suboptimal
\[
\text { Lower-bound: } \quad \Omega(\sqrt{H S A T})
\]

\section*{PSRL: RiverSwim}


\section*{PSRL: RiverSwim}


\section*{Tabular Randomized Least-Squares Value Iteration (RLSVI)} [Russo, 2019]
```

Input: $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, H$
for $k=1, \ldots, K$ do // episodes
Observe initial state $s_{1 k}$ (arbitrary)
Run Tabular-RLSVI on $\mathcal{D}_{k}$
for $h=1, \ldots, H$ do
Execute $a_{h k}=\pi_{h k}\left(s_{h k}\right)=\arg \max _{a} \widehat{Q}_{h k}\left(s_{h k}, a\right)$
Observe $r_{h k}$ and $s_{h+1, k}$
end
Add trajectory $\left(s_{h k}, a_{h k}, r_{h k}\right)_{h=1}^{H}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}$
end

```
* Not necessary to store all the data. Updates can be done incrementally

\section*{Tabular-RLSVI}

Input: Dataset \(\mathcal{D}_{k}=\left(s_{h i}, a_{h i}, r_{h i}\right)_{h=1, i=1}^{H, k}\)
Estimate empirical MDP \(\widehat{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{p}_{h}, \widehat{r}_{h}, H\right)\)
\[
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{p}_{h k}\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) & =\frac{1}{N_{h k}(s, a)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbb{1}\left(\left(s_{h i}, a_{h i}, s_{h+1, i}\right)=\left(s, a, s^{\prime}\right)\right), \\
\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a) & =\frac{1}{N_{h k}(s, a)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} r_{h i} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(\left(s_{h i}, a_{h i}\right)=(s, a)\right)
\end{aligned}
\]
for \(h=H, \ldots, 1\) do \(/ /\) backward induction
\(\quad\) Sample \(\xi_{h k} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{h k}^{2} I\right)\)
\(\bar{M}_{k}=\left(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \widehat{p}_{h k}, \widehat{r}_{h k}+\xi_{h k}, H\right)\)
Compute
\[
\forall(s, a) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A}, \quad \widehat{Q}_{h k}(s, a)=\widehat{r}_{h k}(s, a)+\xi_{h k}(s, a)+\sum_{s^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}} \widehat{p}_{h k}\left(s^{\prime} \mid s, a\right) \widehat{V}_{h+1, k}\left(s^{\prime}\right)
\]
end
return \(\left\{\widehat{Q}_{h k}\right\}_{h=1}^{H}\)

\section*{Tabular-RLSVI: Frequentist Regret}

\section*{Theorem (Russo [2019])}

For any tabular MDP with non-stationary transitions, Tab-RLSVI with
\[
\sigma_{h k}(s, a)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sqrt{\frac{S H^{3}}{N_{h k}(s, a)+1}}\right)
\]
suffers with high probability a frequentist regret
\[
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \text { Tab-RLSVI }\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H^{5 / 2} S^{3 / 2} \sqrt{A T}\right)
\]
- Order optimal \(\sqrt{A T}\)
- \(H^{3 / 2} S\) worse than the lower-bound \(\Omega(H \sqrt{S A T})\)

Analysis can be improved!

\section*{Tab-RLVSI \(_{\sigma}:\) RiverSwim}


\section*{Tab-RLVSI \({ }_{\sigma}:\) RiverSwim}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1} \text { (theory) } \\
& \sigma_{h}(s, a)=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{(H-h)^{3} S L}{N}}
\end{aligned}
\]
\[
\sigma_{2}
\]
\[
\sigma_{h}(s, a)=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{(H-h)^{2} L}{N}}
\]
\(\sigma_{3}\)
\[
\sigma_{h}(s, a)=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{L}{N}}
\]
\[
\begin{aligned}
& N=N_{h k}(s, a) \vee 1 \\
& L=\log (S A N / \delta)
\end{aligned}
\]


\section*{Tabular MDPs: Outline}

1 Tabular Model-Based
- Optimistic
- Randomized

2 Tabular Model-Free Algorithms

\section*{Model-Based Issues}

\section*{Complexity}
- Space \(O\left(H S^{2} A\right)\)
\[
\text { nonstationary model } \Longrightarrow H(\underbrace{S^{2} A}_{\text {transitions }}+\underbrace{S A}_{\text {rewards }})
\]
- Time \(O(K \underbrace{H S^{2} A}_{\text {planning by } V \text { vI }})\)

\section*{Solutions}

1 Time complexity: incremental planning (e.g.,Opt-RTDP)

\section*{Model-Based Issues}

Complexity
- Space \(O\left(H S^{2} A\right)\)
\[
\text { nonstationary model } \Longrightarrow H(\underbrace{S^{2} A}_{\text {transitions }}+\underbrace{S A}_{\text {rewards }})
\]
- Time \(O(K \underbrace{H S^{2} A}_{\text {planning by } V \text { vI }})\)

\section*{Solutions}

1 Time complexity: incremental planning (e.g.,Opt-RTDP)
2 Space complexity: avoid to estimate rewards and transitions

\section*{Model-Based Issues}

Complexity
- Space \(O\left(H S^{2} A\right)\)
\[
\text { nonstationary model } \Longrightarrow H(\underbrace{S^{2} A}_{\text {transitions }}+\underbrace{S A}_{\text {rewards }})
\]
- Time \(O(K \underbrace{H S^{2} A}_{\text {planning by } V \text { vI }})\)

\section*{Solutions}

1 Time complexity: incremental planning (e.g.,Opt-RTDP)
2 Space complexity: avoid to estimate rewards and transitions
\(\mathcal{B}\) Optimistic Q-learning (Opt-QL) Space: \(\mathcal{O}(H S A) \quad\) Time: \(\mathcal{O}(H A K)\)

\section*{River Swim: Q-learning w \(\ddagger\)-greedy Exploration}

■ \(\epsilon_{t}=1.0\)
■ \(\epsilon_{t}=0.5\)
\(\epsilon_{t}=\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\left(N\left(s_{t}\right)-1000\right)^{2 / 3}}\)
\(\epsilon_{t}= \begin{cases}1.0 & t<6000 \\ \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{N\left(s_{t}\right)^{1 / 2}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\)
\(\epsilon_{t}= \begin{cases}1.0 & t<7000 \\ \frac{\epsilon_{0}}{N\left(s_{t}\right)^{1 / 2}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}\)


Tuning the \(\epsilon\) schedule is difficult and problem dependent
\[
\text { Regret: } \Omega\left(\min \left\{T, A^{H / 2}\right\}\right)
\]

\section*{Optimistic Q-learning}
```

Input: S, A, 隹,Pn
Initialize Qh(s,a)=H-(h-1) and N}N(s,a)=0\mathrm{ for all (s,a) \& S }\times\mathcal{A}\mathrm{ and h=[H]
for }k=1,···,K\mathrm{ do // episodes
Observe initial state s}\mp@subsup{s}{1k}{}\mathrm{ (arbitrary)
for }h=1,···,H\mathrm{ do
Execute }\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{}=\mp@subsup{\pi}{hk}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{})=\operatorname{arg}\mp@subsup{\operatorname{max}}{a}{}\mp@subsup{\widehat{Q}}{h}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},a
Observe }\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}\mathrm{ and }\mp@subsup{s}{h+1,k}{
Set N
Update
Qh(shk, a}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{hk}}{}{)}=(1-\mp@subsup{\alpha}{t}{})\mp@subsup{Q}{h}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},\mp@subsup{a}{hk}{})+\mp@subsup{\alpha}{t}{}(\mp@subsup{r}{hk}{}+\mp@subsup{\widehat{V}}{h+1}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{h+1,k}{})+\mp@subsup{\hat{b}}{t}{}
Set }\mp@subsup{\widehat{V}}{h}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{})=\operatorname{min}{H-(h-1),\mp@subsup{\operatorname{max}}{a\in\mathcal{A}}{}\mp@subsup{Q}{h}{}(\mp@subsup{s}{hk}{},a)
end
end

```

\section*{Step size \(\alpha_{t}\)}

Qlearning uses \(\alpha_{t}\) of
\[
O(1 / t) \quad \text { or } \quad O(1 / \sqrt{t})
\]
with \(t=N_{h k}(s, a)\)

Opt-QL
\[
\alpha_{t}=\frac{H+1}{H+t}
\]

\section*{Step size \(\alpha_{t}\)}

\[
{ }^{*} k_{i}=\left\{k: N_{h k}(s, a)=i\right\}
\]

\section*{Step size \(\alpha_{t}\)}

Recursive Q-learning update \(\left(t=N_{h k}(s, a)\right)\)
\[
\begin{array}{r}
Q_{h k}(s, a)=\mathbb{1}(t=0) H+\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{t}^{i}\left(r_{k_{i}}+\widehat{V}_{h+1, k_{i}}\left(s_{h+1, k_{i}}\right)+b_{i}\right) \\
\text { with } \alpha_{t}^{i}=\alpha_{i} \prod_{j=i+1}^{t}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right)
\end{array}
\]

Idea: favoring later updates
- last \(1 / H\) fraction of samples of \((s, a)\) have non-negligible weights
- \(1-1 / H\) is forgotten
\[
{ }^{*} k_{i}=\left\{k: N_{h k}(s, a)=i\right\}
\]

\section*{Step size \(\alpha_{t}\)}

\section*{Optimistic initialization}

Recursive Q-learning update \(\left(t \neq N_{h k}(s, a)\right.\) )

> Weighted Average of bootstrapped values
\[
\begin{array}{r}
Q_{h k}(s, a)=\mathbb{1}(t=0) H+\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{t}^{i}\left(r_{k_{i}}+\widehat{V}_{h+1, k_{i}}\left(s_{h+1, k_{i}}\right)+b_{i}\right) \\
\text { with } \alpha_{t}^{i}=\alpha_{i} \prod_{j=i+1}^{t}\left(1-\alpha_{j}\right)
\end{array}
\]

Example. \(H=10\) and assume \(t=N_{h k}(s, a)=1000\)

\[
* k_{i}=\left\{k: N_{h k}(s, a)=i\right\}
\]

\section*{Exploration Bonus \(b_{t}\)}

Let \(t=N_{h k}(s, a)\)
\[
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{t}^{i}\left(V_{h+1}^{\star}\left(s_{h+1, k_{i}}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{s^{\prime} \mid s, a}\left[V_{h+1}^{\star}\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right]\right)\right| \leq \underbrace{c \sqrt{\frac{H^{3} \log (S A T / \delta)}{t}}}_{:=b_{t}}
\]

Note that \(\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{t}^{i}=1\).

\section*{Opt-Q-learning: Regret}

\section*{Theorem (Jin et al., 2018])}

For any tabular MDP with non-stationary transitions, Opt-QL with Hoeffding inequalities \(\left(b_{t}=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(\sqrt{H^{3} / t}\right)\right)\), with high probability, suffers a regret
\[
R\left(K, M^{\star}, \mathrm{Opt-QL}\right)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H^{2} \sqrt{S A T}+H^{2} S A\right)
\]
- Order optimal \(\sqrt{S A T}\)
- \(H\) factor worse than the lower-bound \(\Omega(H \sqrt{S A T})\)
- \(\sqrt{H}\) factor worse than model-based with Hoeffding inequalities UCBVI-CH for non-stationary \(p_{h}\) suffers \(\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H^{3 / 2} \sqrt{S A T}\right)\)
- but better second-order terms
- The bound does not improve in stationary MDPs (i.e., \(p_{1}=\ldots=p_{H}\) )

\section*{Opt-Qlearning: Example}


\section*{Refined Confidence Intervals}

■ Opt-QL with Bernstein-Freedman bounds (instead of Hoeffding/Weissman):
\[
R(K)=\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}\left(H^{3 / 2} \sqrt{S A T}+\sqrt{H^{9} S^{3} A^{3}}\right)
\]
\& Still not matching the lower-bound!
\(\sqrt{H}\) worse than model-based (e.g.,UCBVI-BF)

\section*{Open Questions}

11 prove frequentist regret for PSRL
2 whether the gap between the regret of model-based and model-free should exist?
3 which algorithm is better in practice?
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